

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,239		04/16/2004	Donald T. Comer	1042.2.3	9634
36491	7590	11/01/2004		EXAMINER	
		OCIATES	LAUTURE, JOSEPH J		
8 EAST BI SALT LAI		Y UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2819	
				DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/826,239	COMER, DONALD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph Lauture	2819
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	April 2004.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a	ı)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	n□	(070,440)
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>41604</u> .	<del></del> 1	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/826,239

Art Unit: 2819

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 5 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 26 of U.S. application 10/421,129, which has been allowed. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because "an interpolator configured to detect a response time" as claimed in the instant application is not distinct from "a response-based interpolator" claimed in application 10/421,129. In both instances, the interpolator performs the same function of adding an additional bit to the plurality of bits.

Claims 2 and 6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/421,129 in view of Knutson et al (US 6,563,862). Knutson et al teach in figure (4) a wireless telephone system having an interpolator (431) that

Application/Control Number: 10/826,239

Art Unit: 2819

operates at substantially the same rate as a converter (433) (See column 9, lines 63-66). It would have been obvious to incorporate this well-known technique in an analog-to-digital conversion apparatus because this would simplify the system by allowing both analog-to-digital converter and interpolator to be defined by the same clock signal.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,239 Page 4

Art Unit: 2819

## CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Lauture, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday between 9:30 am and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Tokar can be reached at (571) 272-1812. The fax number for the organization to which this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

Joseph Lauture

Group: 2819

Date: 10/20/2004

Jean Brunce Jean Planche
JEAN JEANGLAUDE
DRIMARY EXAMINER